## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TEXARKANA DIVISION

RILEY EUGENE WILSON §

v. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:06cv258

SGT. STEPHEN ROCKWELL, ET AL. §

## MEMORANDUM ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND ENTERING FINAL JUDGMENT

The Plaintiff Riley Wilson, proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil rights lawsuit under 42 U.S.C. §1983 complaining of alleged violations of his constitutional rights. This Court ordered that the case be referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) and (3) and the Amended Order for the Adoption of Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges.

Wilson complained of an alleged use of force directed against him. The Magistrate Judge ordered the Defendants to answer the lawsuit. On August 31, 2007, the Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment, with evidence in support. Wilson did not file a response to this motion.

After review of the pleadings and evidence, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report on November 7, 2007, recommending that the motion for summary judgment be granted and that the lawsuit be dismissed with prejudice. Wilson received a copy of the Magistrate Judge's Report on November 15, 2007, but filed no objections thereto; accordingly, he is barred from *de novo* review by the district judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the district court. <u>Douglass v. United Services Automobile</u> Association, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*).

The Court has reviewed the pleadings in this case and the Report of the Magistrate Judge. Upon such review, the Court has determined that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is correct. It is accordingly

ORDERED that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is ADOPTED as the opinion of the District Court. It is further

ORDERED that the Defendants' motion for summary judgment (docket no. 18) is GRANTED and that the above-styled civil action be and hereby is DISMISSED with prejudice. It is further

ORDERED that any and all motions which may be pending in this action are hereby DENIED.

SIGNED this 18th day of January, 2008.

DAVID FOLSOM

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE